





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/780,943	•	02/09/2001	Timothy A.M. Chuter	ENDOV-56584(E0025)	1704	
24201	7590	11/14/2003		EXAMINER		
		ON LEE & UTECH	ISABELLA, DAVID J			
HOWARI) HUGHES	CENTER				
6060 CEN	TER DRIV	′ E		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TENTH FLOOR				3738		
LOS ANO	BELES, CA	90045		DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003 14		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/780,943	CHUTER, TIMOTH	/ A.M.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DAVID J ISABELLA -	- 3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	·						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment				
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:		·					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7,9-14,16 and 27.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8,15,17-26	<u>3,28-42</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:	·	DAVID J ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3738	4				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1-5,16 and 27 stand rejected over Wiselink. Wisselink discloses at least one of the plurality of extension including a first end portion, a second end portion and a midsection portion and a support structure attached to the misdsection portion (see element 48, the ring does, broadly, serve as a support structure. Applicant's agruments to the 112 rejections to claims 1 and 14 ares well taken and these rejection are obviated. However, rejections to claims 2 and 4 stand. The term "catheter" is merely a recitation of a device devoid of positive recitation of structure that define the device.